Responses to "Keep, Stop, Start" August 27, 2013

Кеер	Start	Stop
 Great prepared documents and background materials. 	Keep group focused on task at hand.	 Self-selecting public participation in breakout groups.
Three cards idea.	 Brainstorming and open discussion feels good, but doesn't really get a group some place. 	 Lack of transparency and truth.
Location, time, breakout groups did well.	 Would have changed nothing. The meeting was well run and organized. 	 Would not have called for lunch prior to breakout groups because we lost a fair amount of meeting time.
• Like the pre-assigned functional groups by individual interests.	 Could have used more time to discuss area topic. 	 Split up into separate rooms: A bit noisy.
 Meeting was very well0organized, great staff support. 	None offered	 Room wasn't set up very well for the 3 breakout groups, difficult to hear because of multiple conversations going on simultaneously.
Roger's meeting management.	 Improve input process from other stakeholders. Define what we are trying to do. 	 Long worded comments.
• Great representation. Good job as chair.	More time	None offered
Get the binder ahead of time	 Include contact info of team members. 	None offered
 Good job Roger! Tough meeting to chair and facilitate – you did a good job. 	 Be clearer on definitions and expected outcomes. 	 Good idea on the cards, but should have been able to "storyboard". Group and subtitle as a starting basis for group discussion.
 Good meeting. Road to real recommendations. Big audience- Good management. 	None offered	None offered
Keeping on time-excellent.	 Better prepare small group moderators. 	 Never put two senators in one small group.
• Turn out	 Very structured meeting forward 	None offered
Scheduling – well run meeting!	 Better use of time in workgroups. Maybe prep the facilitators. 	None offered